

## REMARKS

The above-identified application is United States application serial number 10/615,634 filed on July 8, 2003. Claims 1-14, 16-39, and 41-47 are pending in the application. Claims 1-14, 16-39 and 41-47 are rejected. Applicant respectfully traverses these rejections.

### Rejection of Claims Under 35 USC § 103

Claims 1-6, and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Guell et al. (NPL Document "FLILO - an Enhanced Vision System", herein referred to as "Guell") in view of NASA et al. (NPL Document "NASA's .High Speed Research Program", herein referred to as "NASA") in further view of Boorman et al. (US Pub 2004/0059474, herein referred to as "Boorman"). Claim 1 recites:

"generate a third display area associated with at least two mutually exclusive windows of information on a display device for the first operator station;  
generate a third display area associated with at least two mutually exclusive windows of information on another display device for the second operator station;  
wherein the third display areas can be customized independently by the operators to display detailed information related to the information displayed in the associated windows."

None of the cited references, alone or in combination, teach or suggest these features.

Boorman teaches three windows that display information that is unrelated to the information in the other windows, specifically, a first window 570 displays airspeed information, a second window 580 displays vertical guidance information, and the third window 590 displays lateral guidance information. (Boorman paragraphs [0031] - [0033]). Although all three windows in Boorman contain information related to the autopilot modes, the information in each window is unrelated and does not provide any further detail regarding the information in the other windows. Claim 1 is distinguishable from Boorman, Guell, and NASA, alone and in combination, for at least these reasons.

Claims 2-14 depend from Claim 1 and include features that further distinguish them from the cited references. Allowance of claims 1-14 is respectfully requested.

Claims 16-19, 24-27, 33, 37, 38, and 46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Guell in view of NASA in further view of Sankrithi et al. (US Patent 6,405,975, herein referred to as "Sankrithi"). Independent claims 16 and 37 recite: "the viewpoint images are aligned with and scaled to conform to the out-the-window visual scene." Independent claim 24 recites: "the viewpoint image is aligned with and scaled to conform to the out-the-window visual scene." These features are supported by at least paragraphs [0048] - [0049] in the specification. In contrast, Sankrithi teaches displaying images of the landing gear, but the landing gear images do not conform to nor are they aligned with the out-the-window visual scene from the window. Claims 16, 24, and 37 are distinguishable from the cited references, alone and in combination, for at least these reasons.

Claims 17-23, 25-36, and 38, 39, 41-47 depend from Claims 16, 24, and 36, respectively, and include features that further distinguish them from the cited references. Allowance of claims 16-39 and 41-47 is respectfully requested.

### CONCLUSION

Applicant believes the application, including all remaining claims 1-14, 16-39, and 41-47 are in form for allowance and a notice to that effect is solicited. In the event it would facilitate prosecution of this application, the Examiner is invited to telephone the undersigned at (949) 350-7301.

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted to the USPTO, on the date shown below:

Mary Jo Bertani  
(Signature)

Mary Jo Bertani  
(Printed Name of Person Signing Certificate)

February 5, 2007  
(Date)

Respectfully submitted,

/Mary Jo Bertani/

Mary Jo Bertani  
Attorney for Applicant(s)  
Reg. No. 42,321

KOESTNER BERTANI LLP  
18662 MACARTHUR BLVD.  
SUITE 400  
IRVINE, CA 92612  
TEL (949) 251-0250  
FAX (949) 251-0260